1		The Honorable James L. Robart	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
10	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a	No. C10-1823-JLR	
11	Washington Corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING NON-	
12	Plaintiff,	PARTY MPEG LA LLC'S MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS	
13	V.		
14	MOTOROLA, INC., MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, and GENERAL	NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2012	
15	INSTRUMENT CORPORATION,	WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT	
16	Defendants.		
17	This matter having come on regularly be	fore the above-entitled Court on Nonparty MPEG	
18	LA LLC's ("MPEG") Joinder and Motion to Seal documents and the Court having considered the		
19	joinder/motion papers and the records on file herein,		
20	And the Court, being fully advised in the premises, now, therefore, it is hereby ORDERED,		
21	ADJUDGED and DECREED that MPEG's Joinder and Motion is Granted as follows:		
22	1. MPEG has established that com	apelling reasons exist for sealing the following	
23	documents:		
24	a. AVS Patent Portfolio Lice	ense, dated January 24, 2005, between MPEG and	
25	Google Inc., labeled MPE		
26			
-			

1	b.	Agreement among Licensors Regarding the AVC Standard, dated June 15, 2004, between a number of entities, labeled MPEG LA - 000096-114;	
2			
3	c.	Agreement among Licensors regarding the AVC Standard, dated June 15, 2004, between a number of entities, labeled MPEG-MOT_54-90 and Trial Exhibit 1141;	
4	d.	Licensing Administrator Agreement Regarding the AVC Standard dated June	
5 6		15, 2004, between a number of entities, labeled MPEG-MOT_91-132 and Trial Exhibit 1636;	
	e.	Side letter, dated August 19, 2008, from MPEG to Motorola and considered	
7 8		as an attachment to a licensing agreement, labeled MPEG-MOT_1474-1 and Trial Exhibit 21;	
	f.	An email exchange between MPEG and Motorola, dated November 4 and	
9		December 10, 2009, concerning licensing issues, labeled MPEG-MOT_1870-1871 and Trial Exhibit 22;	
10	σ.		
11	g.	All other correspondence that contains information relating to terms and specifics of the Licensing Agreements disclosed in response to subpoenas to MPEG, that the parties intend to offer into evidence at trial;	
12		,	
13	These documents are confidential and contain trade secret information. MPEG has done		
14	nothing to put that information at issue in this case where it is not a party. The parties and witnesses		
15	shall redact and seal the portion of any exhibits or demonstratives which contain the above		
16	referenced documents.		
17	2. The	courtroom is to be cleared and closed when argument on this topic, testimony on	
18	this topic, or these exhibits are discussed.		
19	3. If add	ditional documents from MPEG are intended to be offered into evidence then the	
20	parties are to notify MPEG immediately so that it can seek to seal/redact confidential and trade secret		
21	information before the document is offered into evidence.		
22	DATED this	sday of November, 2012.	
23			
24		HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART	
25	United States District Court Judge		
26			